Effectiveness of epidural steroid injection for the management of symptomatic herniated lumbar disc
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ABSTRACT
Low-back pain is a common clinical presentation of herniated lumbar disc. This is the most common presenting complain of the young adults. The incidence of low back pain is high in our part of the world. The reason may be hilly terrain, difficult working and living environment. The initial treatment of low back pain is conservative. Epidural steroid injection (ESI) is being slowly established as a simple, effective and minimally invasive treatment modality. The aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness of epidural steroid injection for low back and radicular pain. This is a Prospective observational study. It was carried out on the patients presenting with the complain of low back and radicular pain due to herniated lumbar disc not responding to conservative treatment. All the patients of herniated lumbar disc were proven by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Injection Methyl prednisolone 80 mg and 2 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine was diluted in 8 ml of normal saline and injected into the affected lumbar epidural space. The functional status of the patient and the severity of pain were evaluated before injection and after injection during the follow-up period by using Ostrewy disability index and visual analogue score. Sixty two patients received the epidural steroid injections, but only fifty patients came for regular follow up till six months. Among the fifty patients, 26 were male and 24 were female. The functional status and pain response of the patients were improved significantly during all the follow-up periods (p < 0.001). The success rate of this study was 81%. No major complications were encountered. The ESI is a simple, safe, effective and minimally invasive modality for the management of symptomatic herniated discs.
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INTRODUCTION
Low-back and radicular pain is a common presenting complain of herniated lumbar disc. Its life time incidence in the United States is 80%.1 The data of our country is not available but the prevalence is high because of hilly terrain, difficult working and living environment. This is a disabling condition of young adults and is the most common cause of limitation of physical activity.1 The treatments used for this problem may be categorized as conservative management, epidural steroid injection, and surgery.2,3 Epidural steroid injection (ESI) is a nonsurgical treatment for managing low back and radicular pain caused by herniated lumbar disc. The low back pain of mechanical origin, accompanied by signs and symptoms of nerve-root irritation, respond to epidural steroid injection with gratifying results. It relieve pain, improve function, and reduce the need for surgical intervention. Therefore, the long acting epidural steroid injection has been widely used and slowly established as a reliable mode of minimally invasive treatment modality in many orthopaedic centres of the world. It has been shown to provide analgesia for variable periods.4,5

The purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of epidural steroid injection for low back and radicular pain in Nepalese patients presenting to a specialized orthopaedic centre.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a prospective observational study, conducted in the Nepal Orthopaedic Hospital Kathmandu over a period of one and half year, from January 2009 to July 2010. During this period sixty seven patients presented to the hospital with complain of low back pain radiating to legs. Patients having back pain not responding to conservative treatment (i.e. Non-steroidal antinflamatory drugs (NSAIDs), antidepressant, oral steroids, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), traction and ultrasound), and had MRI proven lumbar disc prolapse at different level were included in the study. Exclusion criteria included motor deficit, prior lumbar disc surgery, diabetes, bleeding disorder, and patient refusal.
Patient sampling and selection flow chart:

Reasons for exclusion:
- did not meet the inclusion criteria (n=1)
- Refused to participate (n=2)
- Coagulopathy (n=1)
- Uncontrolled Diabetes (n=1)

This study was approved by the hospital research committee. Written and informed consent was obtained from each patient. Then thorough history was taken and clinical examination was done. The findings of straight leg raising test (SLR), motor and sensory deficit, and deep tendon reflexes (DTR) were noted. Routine laboratory investigations including prothrombin time, bleeding time, clotting time and platelets were done. Random blood sugar was also done to rule out any subclinical diabetes. The ESI was given by trained anaesthesiologist in operation theatre. During the procedure, peripheral venous access was secured in all the patients with 20 G intravenous cannula on the dorsum of hand. Patients were connected to the patient monitor for monitoring ECG, heart rate, non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP), and pulse oximetry. All the patient were kept in sitting position. Cleaning and draping of the part was done under aseptic precaution. The disc level for ESI was located by surface anatomy. Using strict aseptic technique, two millilitres of 1% lidocaine was infiltrating to the skin and subcutaneous tissue for surface anaesthesia. An 18 gauge Toughy epidural needle was inserted into the epidural space of the herniated lumbar disc through trans-lumbar route with the bevel upward and stylet in position. The epidural space was identified by loss of resistance to air technique. Injection methyl prednisolone 80 mg (Depo-Medrol® by pfizer) and 2 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine (Sensorcaine® by Astra Zenica) was diluted in 8 ml of normal saline and injected into the lumbar epidural space. After the procedure, patients were advised to lie supine. During this period they were observed for any possible complications. The patients were first reviewed after one week, and then further follow up was carried out at one month & six months after the epidural steroid injection. During follow up, the Oswestry disability index (ODI) and visual analog score (VAS) were used to evaluate the response of treatment. The ODI was employed toquantitate the level of functional disability. It consist of ten questions, each with six alternative scores 0–5.6-8 The sum of the scores was expressed as a percentage. A change of more than 10 points or a change of a minimum of 20% was considered a significant clinical improvement. VAS score was used for assessment of current back and lower-extremity pain, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain possible).

If a patient subjectively reported a decrease in pain within one week after a single injection, no more injections were administered. If the patient didn’t have improvement within a week, a second injection was performed. Patients with low back pain not responding to second dose of ESI were considered for surgery. If the patient didn’t have subjective improvement even after a second dose of ESI considered as failure of ESI.

The success rate of epidural steroid injection was presented as percentage. The total number of patients who received the epidural steroid injection irrespective of follow up status was considered as denominator.

All patients were advised to take mild analgesics (Tab. diclofenac 75 mg per oral eight hourly for 1 day) during the post-injection period. No special exercise program or other physical therapy was employed after the injections.

The data analysis was done by using the software SPSS 11.0. Paired t- test was applied to compare changes in functional status and pain intensity. P value of <0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS
Out of sixty seven patients, five patients were excluded from the study because of patient refusal, coagulopathies and not meeting the inclusion criteria. Sixty two patients received epidural steroid injection, among them four patients did not come for follow up for six months and eight patients required surgery. The remaining fifty patients were analyzed, among them 26 (52%) were male and 24 (48%) female. The mean age of patients was 41.04(±13.26) years. The commonest intervertebral disc involved was L4-5 (30%) followed by L5-S1 (22%) in single level PIVD. However, in multi-level disc prolapse
L4-5, L5-S1 (30%) was the commonest level. Single level disc prolapse was seen in 32 patients (64%) and multi level disc prolapse in 18 patients (36%).

Significant functional status improvement was observed in all follow-up visits, which was shown in Fig. 1. Similarly significant reduction in pain intensity was observed in all follow-up visits as shown in Fig. 2.

Eight patients (13%) showed no improvement of pain even after two doses of ESI. These patients underwent surgery. All of them had multi level disc prolapse. Four patients did not come for follow up. So the success rate of this study was 81%. No complication was observed except local pain over injection site in four patients (6%) and vasovagal reaction in three patients (5%).

**DISCUSSION**

Epidural steroid injections have been used for decades in the management of low back pain. It is minimally invasive and effective treatment modality in many orthopaedic centres. The first reported use of epidural steroid was in 1952 by Robecchi and Capra and are still an integral part of non-surgical management of low back and radiating pain. They used hydrocortisone in the first sacral root. Later on various researchers were used injection methylprednisolone (Depomedrol) and reported better results. In this study we also used methylprednisolone and demonstrated that it was effective for relieving the symptoms of herniated disc as well as improving the functional status of the patients. Several studies in literature also have shown that ESI is effective in LBP. In Bogduk series, out of 40 studies more than 4000 patients on lumbar and caudal steroid injections, 36 studies recommended in favour of the use of ESI in lumbosacral pain. Similarly VAS score was decreased by 29% in the first week and by 47% at the end of six months. This result indicates that the functional status of patients and pain intensity was significantly improved in all follow up visits.

In several studies patients were followed after ESI for periods ranging from weeks to one year, showed to be beneficial. In this study we followed only for six months.

In this study Oswestry disability index (ODI) was used for the assessment functional status of low back pain. It is based on a patient’s subjective impression on his or her own state of disability. The ODI was decreased by more than 25% by first week and by more than 40% by the end of six month following epidural steroid injection. Similarly VAS score was decreased by 29% in the first week and by 47% at the end of six months. This result indicates that the functional status of patients and pain intensity was significantly improved in all follow up visits.

The treatment of low back pain with radicular involvement has remained a matter of controversy because of multifactorial etiology and varying therapeutic modalities. Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, antidepressant, parenteral steroids, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), traction and ultrasound have been used alone or in combination but without any proved efficacy. Surgery is particularly indicated in cases with definite surgically correctable herniated discs but with a failure rate of as high as 30%. The incidence of persistent back pain after surgery was found to be inversely proportional to the degree of herniation. Hence ESI was found to be an alternative treatment modality with good results in symptomatic herniated disc, we also found the same result in this study.

The mechanism of pain due to herniated disc is Mechanical or chemical stimulation initiates a sequence of events responsible for the generation of back pain and radiculopathy. Mechanical irritation caused by compression, traction and chemical irritation result in intraneural inflammation characterized by ischemia, edema, fibrosis, and demyelination. As a result physiologic changes lead to an alteration of nerve
function including muscle weakness, sensory deficit and hyperexcitability-pain. The pain due to herniated disc is thought to be arise from the release of arachidonic acid metabolites namely prostaglandin E2, thromboxane, phospholipase A2, tumour necrosis factor, and interleukin from herniated disc cells. The nerve roots, close proximity of herniated disc may sensitize by the above chemical mediator and cause low back and radicular pain.19,20

In this study we used methylprednisolone and bupivacaine for the management of low back pain. Our study showed significant relieve of the symptoms of herniated disc as well as improvement in the functional status of the patients.21 Thus our study supports the findings of the studies by Believesus et al, they also showed that epidural injection of methyl prednisolone was more effective in long standing back pain and sciatica.22

The various mechanisms have been described to account for the analgesic effect of ESI. Methyl prednisolone is corticosteroid and is well known for its anti-inflammatory properties23 and also stabilizes neural membranes, suppress ectopic neural discharges,24 and may have direct anaesthetic effect on small unmyelinated nociceptive C-âbers.25 Bupivacaine is a local anaesthetic agent, also act as ‘flushing’ agents to dilute the chemical or immunologic agents that promote inflammation. It help to flush out’ inflammatory mediators from around the area that may be a source of pain and also help to curtail inâammation by inhibiting phagocytosis, decreasing phagocytic oxygen consumption, reducing polymorphonuclear leukocyte lysosomal enzyme release, and diminishing superoxide anion production.26-28 Additionally, it improves neural blood âow and dysfunction.29 So our study shows that the combination of methyl prednilolone and bupivacaine more effective for the management of low back pain.

Biomechanically 80-90% of the movements of the lumbar spine occur at the L4-L5 and L5-S1 intervertebral discs. So, these lumbar spine positions are at risk for producing low back pain. In this study, we found the commonest level of affected intervertebral disc prolapsed was L4-5 (30%) followed by L5-S1 (22%).

In our study we found 12 patients did not improve with ESI. Among them 8 patients undergone discectomy, 4 patients did not come for follow up. Considering those who didn’t come for follow-up as failures, the success rate was 81%. Our findings support the studies done by Swerdlow et al and Winnie et al. They reported the success rates ranging from 63% to 80%.30,31 Dilke and colleagues published a double blind, controlled and randomized prospective study in 100 patients. Their overall success rate was 45%.32 In this study we select only MRI proven symptomatic herniated disc, carried out follow up for only six month, because of that our success rate may have become high compared to other studies. There are several factors for varied results like patient selection, technique of injection, dosage of steroid and follow up. In this study the patient who had undergone discectomy had large herniated disc, multi-level disc prolapse and obese patient.

Epidural injections are a relatively safe procedure as total complications in most series were 5%.2 In this study only 4 (6%) patients reported with local pain over the injection site, which subsided without treatment and 3(5%) patients developed vasovagal reaction. There are reports of epidural abscess, epidural hematoma, and durocutaneous fistula, Cushing syndrome, bacterial meningitis and post-dural puncture headache.31 None of these complications were seen in our study. Thus this finding shows that epidural steroid injections are simple, safe, minimally invasive and early pain relief for symptomatic herniated lumbar discs.

The limitations in this study were: 1) The follow up was done only for six months 2) since the patient were send back to home so we could not monitor whether the patient took any other modalities of treatment for LBP 3) The sample size is small 4) Lack of control group

It can be concluded that, the epidural injections of methylprednisolone is simple, safe, minimally invasive and effective mode of treatment of low-back and radicular pain due to herniated disc. It improves the functional status and decreases the severity of pain.

There should be further a large population-based study and randomised controlled trials and long term follow-up are needed before this procedure can be generally recommended. Till then, the ESI will continue to be used for the management of low-back and radicular pain.
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